

BURSOR & FISHER, P.A.
L. Timothy Fisher (State Bar No. 191626)
Sarah N. Westcot (State Bar No. 264916)
2121 North California Blvd., Suite 1010
Walnut Creek, CA 94596
Telephone: (925) 482-1515
Facsimile: (925) 407-2700
E-Mail: ltfisher@bursor.com
swestcot@bursor.com

BURSOR & FISHER, P.A.
Scott A. Bursor (State Bar No. 276006)
369 Lexington Avenue, 10th Floor
New York, NY 10017
Telephone: (212) 989-9113
Facsimile: (212) 989-9163
E-Mail: scott@bursor.com

BRAMSON, PLUTZIK, MAHLER & BIRKHAEUSER, LLP
Alan R. Plutzik (State Bar No. 077785)
Michael S. Strimling (State Bar No. 96135)
2125 Oak Grove Road, Suite 120
Walnut Creek, CA 94598
Telephone: (925) 945-0200
Facsimile: (925) 945-8792
E-Mails: aplutzik@bramsonplutzik.com
mstrimling@bramsonplutzik.com

Attorneys for Defendants Power
Ventures, Inc. and Steve Vachani

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FACEBOOK, INC.,

Plaintiff,

-against-

POWER VENTURES, INC. d/b/a POWER.COM, a
California corporation; POWER VENTURES, INC.
a Cayman Island Corporation, STEVE VACHANI,
an individual; DOE 1, d/b/a POWER.COM, an
individual and/or business entity of unknown nature;
DOES 2 through 25, inclusive, individuals and/or
business entities of unknown nature,

Defendants.

Case No. 5:08-cv-05780 JW

**DECLARATION OF L. TIMOTHY
FISHER IN SUPPORT OF
DEFENDANTS' OPPOSITION TO
FACEBOOK INC.'S MOTION TO
COMPEL PRODUCTION OF
DOCUMENTS**

Date: October 24, 2011
Time: 9:00 a.m.
Courtroom 15, 18th Floor
Judge James Ware

1 I, L. Timothy Fisher, declare as follows:

2 1. I am a partner at Bursor & Fisher, P.A., counsel of record for Defendants Power
3 Ventures, Inc. and Steve Vachani. I am an attorney at law licensed to practice in the State of
4 California, and I am a member of the bar of this Court. I have personal knowledge of the facts set
5 forth in this declaration and, if called as a witness, I could and would testify competently thereto.

6 2. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of portions of the July 20,
7 2011 deposition of Defendant Steve Vachani.

8 I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the
9 foregoing is true and correct, executed on September 6, 2011 at Walnut Creek, California.

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28


L. Timothy Fisher

EXHIBIT A

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

FACEBOOK, INC. :

Plaintiff, :

:

v. :

POWER VENTURES, INC. d/b/a:

POWER.COM, a California :

corporation; POWER :

Case No.

VENTURES, INC. a Cayman :

5:08-CV-05780

Island Corporation, STEVE :

JW (HRL)

VACHANI, an individual; :

DOE 1, d/b/a POWER.COM, an:

individual and/or business:

entity of unknown nature; :

DOES 2 through 25, :

inclusive, individuals :

and/or business entities :

of unknown nature, :

Defendants. :

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

10:04 1 A. Legally, no. As I mentioned at
10:04 2 the moment, any new activities that I'm pursuing,
10:04 3 I'm pursuing under this entity, so I'm currently
10:04 4 engaged in conversations with -- with people.

10:04 5 Q. And when did you join Power?

10:04 6 A. Power was founded in -- It was
10:04 7 2006 is when our -- our primary activities started.
10:04 8 We incorporated Power, I believe it was, if I'm not
10:04 9 mistaken, late 2006 and -- but the activities
10:05 10 started previously as a start-up, we started
10:05 11 working on it.

10:05 12 Q. Were you one of the creators of
10:05 13 Power?

10:05 14 A. I was the founder of the company.

10:05 15 Q. Now, when you say it was
10:05 16 incorporated in 2006 but started before then, was
10:05 17 it started under the Web site title www.power.com?

10:05 18 A. No. It was originally -- When we
10:05 19 originally started it, there was no Web site. It
10:05 20 was a -- Like many startups we were -- we were
10:05 21 working on a core, you know, product idea, and
10:05 22 later the name power.com came about in 2007. I
10:05 23 believe we acquired the domain in 2007.

10:05 24 Q. Who helped -- Besides yourself,
10:05 25 who helped create Power.com. You used the --

01:06 1

01:06 2

01:06 3

01:06 4

— REDACTED —

01:06 5

01:06 6

01:06 7

01:07 8

Q. Can you recall what software --

01:07 9

the names of the software programmers associated

01:07 10

with the development process to connect power.com

01:07 11

to Facebook?

01:07 12

A. Well, of course, Eric was leading

01:07 13

this and it would have been Danilo or Carlos.

01:07 14

Q. That's Eric Santos?

01:07 15

A. Yes.

01:07 16

Q. You think it also may have been --

01:07 17

A. Well, it would have been Eric.

01:07 18

Eric would be the primary person. He's the -- This

01:07 19

was an important, you know, new site, so we -- he

01:07 20

was -- he was driving all the decisions for that.

01:07 21

Q. But with -- Is it Danielle, A-L,

01:07 22

or Danielle, _I-E-L-L-E?

01:07 23

A. Danilo, D-A-N-I-L-O.

01:07 24

Q. Is that a man?

01:07 25

A. Yes.

01:31 1 MR. BURSOR: Let him finish.

01:31 2 A. Yes.

01:31 3 Q. If -- How many of those employees
01:31 4 were employed in the -- in the -- to the best of
01:31 5 your knowledge as programmers?

01:31 6 A. Probably about 40.

01:31 7 Q. So approximately 40 percent of
01:31 8 your employees were programmers?

01:31 9 A. That's correct. Programming
01:31 10 related.

01:32 11 Q. If -- If, in fact, all these
01:32 12 functions were identical, why did you need 40
01:32 13 programmers to consistently develop new programs?

01:32 14 A. We were -- We were -- we're not --
01:32 15 Our business did not revolve around Facebook. We
01:32 16 were a very well-funded, venture funded company
01:32 17 building a very -- a very unique and technology
01:32 18 platform programming language and other components
01:32 19 on something that, you know, was -- that was having
01:32 20 and would continue to have a major impact on the
01:32 21 future of the Internet.

01:32 22 Q. Did you maintain employee records
01:32 23 related to, like, time that was spent by employees
01:32 24 on projects?

01:32 25 A. No. I mean, I wouldn't say we

01:32 1 have formal records, but we could obviously -- we
01:32 2 know what people were working on.

01:32 3 Q. And how did you know what people
01:32 4 were working on, just word of mouth?

01:33 5 A. The managers manage their
01:33 6 employees and they know what -- they know what
01:33 7 people are working on and they assign tasks and
01:33 8 those are E mails. What we did is we searched all
01:33 9 E mails and conversations related to Facebook which
01:33 10 was a very, very, minute part of our overall
01:33 11 business.

01:33 12 Q. How did you search -- What was
01:33 13 your search methodology for E mails?

01:33 14 A. I searched -- I took every term
01:33 15 relating to Facebook and PowerScript and
01:33 16 conversations that related to the Power -- the
01:33 17 PowerScript for Facebook. I don't know the exact
01:33 18 terms I searched. I searched all terms. The
01:33 19 second thing I did is I went -- I went to that
01:33 20 period of time and I went through every single. I
01:33 21 scanned down every single E mail to see if I had --
01:33 22 if I had possibly missed any E mails in the search
01:33 23 in the standard search just to see -- to be
01:33 24 thorough. I, then, went to the people that I knew
01:34 25 that were involved with that like Eric and

01:34 1 requested them to -- to provide me any kind of
01:34 2 E mails that were relating to the subject of the
01:34 3 PowerScript for Facebook, and basically in good
01:34 4 faith, I -- I pushed to get everything that was
01:34 5 available and provided those to you. Obviously,
01:34 6 there could be, you know, it could be more stuff
01:34 7 but we made a best -- best -- good faith -- best
01:34 8 faith effort to provide everything.

01:34 9 Q. When you say you searched for
01:34 10 E mails, did you use keyword searches?

01:34 11 A. As I said, a combination. First,
01:34 12 I looked at everything in that date period just one
01:34 13 by one manually and scanned down to see if there
01:34 14 were any messages. The second thing I did is I
01:34 15 searched the name of Facebook, PowerScript, and
01:34 16 anything that I thought was related to -- that
01:35 17 would be related to Facebook to find conversations
01:35 18 on the subject on the development. They were --
01:35 19 And then provided those to you.

01:35 20 Q. When you say you searched E mails,
01:35 21 were you searching your own in box?

01:35 22 A. I searched my in box and I also
01:35 23 requested, you know, from the individuals that were
01:35 24 involved.

01:35 25 Q. Okay. How did you employ a

01:35 1 keyword search on your in box?

01:35 2 A. In Yahoo which is where I -- where
01:35 3 my E mail is they have a search -- a search
01:35 4 functionality where you can search any -- You know,
01:35 5 if you're familiar with the Yahoo mail, I used
01:35 6 their search functionality, and as I said, then I
01:35 7 went to the sent box and the in box of all E mails
01:35 8 around that period and also scanned through those
01:35 9 E mails without individually -- went down the list
01:36 10 of all the E mails that looked like they might be
01:36 11 relevant, so I did a combination of a search and
01:36 12 also a review of E mails in that period.

01:36 13 Q. What's the period you searched?

01:36 14 A. I actually searched everything
01:36 15 from -- I did a -- an entire four years first, but
01:36 16 the individual E mails I searched over a -- You
01:36 17 know, I think that whole -- that whole period from
01:36 18 the six-month period until, I guess, January or
01:36 19 February of 2008. Actually, I searched afterwards,
01:36 20 too, but the primary activity relating to Facebook
01:36 21 was in the November, December, January, February of
01:36 22 2007 and 2008, but I did search before and after,
01:37 23 too, to see if there was other stuff.

01:37 24 Q. The E mails you searched, are they
01:37 25 only the E mails that are on your own -- are on

01:37 1 your own computer?

01:37 2 A. None of them are on my computer.

01:37 3 They're all on -- Every E mail was on Yahoo. Yahoo
01:37 4 is where I had all my Power E mails, and I accessed
01:37 5 all my E mail in my Yahoo Web mail.

01:37 6 Q. Did you search backup systems?

01:37 7 A. There is no backups of my E mails.
01:37 8 All of them -- every -- Every E mail I've sent or
01:37 9 received it comes -- it comes through Yahoo, so
01:37 10 that is my E mail.

01:37 11 Q. So --

01:37 12 A. That is -- Every E mail that I've
01:37 13 ever received for Power since I -- I've been using
01:37 14 that Yahoo account before I started Power and
01:37 15 that's been my interface all my E mail.

01:37 16 Q. What e-mail accounts were
01:37 17 Mr. Santos using to discuss development of the
01:37 18 Facebook PowerScript application with his
01:38 19 programers?

01:38 20 A. Most likely it would be Eric at
01:38 21 power.com. He does have a personal E mail which on
01:38 22 rare occasion, but it might have come -- it most
01:38 23 likely came from Eric at power.com. There may be
01:38 24 an E mail or two that came from eric@ericsantos.net
01:38 25 which is his personal E mail, but in general,

01:38 1 people use their company E mail to send E mails and
01:38 2 in the records, you know, most of them were Eric.
01:38 3 I don't know what was sent, but those are the two
01:38 4 E mails that he would most likely have used.

01:38 5 Q. Did you search -- First of all,
01:38 6 are those -- is that -- are E mails on that
01:38 7 power.com e-mail address still available on the
01:38 8 servers that are being hosted to this day?

01:38 9 A. I have -- I would have to verify
01:38 10 that. I -- I haven't looked directly, but I made a
01:38 11 backup of everything that was there.

01:38 12 Q. When you say you "haven't looked"
01:38 13 does that mean you didn't search to see if there
01:39 14 were E mails on that system?

01:39 15 A. Didn't need to. I have every
01:39 16 E mail that I ever received or sent was -- I have
01:39 17 access to on my Yahoo account.

01:39 18 Q. Were you copied on every E mail
01:39 19 that every employee of Power ever --

01:39 20 A. No. And that's why I also went to
01:39 21 Eric, you know, Eric -- because he was -- he was --
01:39 22 asked him for E mails. Typically, you know, if --
01:39 23 if there was E mails that were secondary he would
01:39 24 have been copied on them.

01:39 25 Q. But you didn't search the E mails

01:39 1 that are stored on --

01:39 2 A. I trusted Eric to search for
01:39 3 himself. I requested him and then he's pretty
01:39 4 good.

01:39 5 Q. Did you oversee his search?

01:39 6 A. I was very explicit in my request.
01:39 7 I don't need to -- to look over his shoulder, you
01:39 8 know. I asked him, you know, to look and he
01:39 9 provided it.

01:39 10 Q. Mr. Santos is currently residing
01:39 11 in Brazil?

01:39 12 A. That's correct.

01:39 13 Q. Do you know if -- Do you know if
01:40 14 Mr. Delgado searched for E mails?

01:40 15 A. I requested from -- I requested
01:40 16 for Eric to contact anyone that he -- any E mails
01:40 17 that he would have been involved in, so in general,
01:40 18 if -- if any E mail that Eric -- that Mr. Delgado
01:40 19 was writing, he would have copied Eric on it,
01:40 20 because in our protocol of our company, he was his
01:40 21 manager so anything related to the project would
01:40 22 have gone through Eric; so while I didn't -- I
01:40 23 didn't go into every detail because I know that it
01:40 24 was common practice to copy your manager on E mails
01:40 25 on a product or project that you're working on.

01:40 1 Q. Do you know if Mr. Bacelar
01:40 2 searched for E mails?

01:40 3 A. I would have to ask Eric to --
01:40 4 exactly his specific -- his specific procedure that
01:40 5 he used. I cannot clarify the micro-details of how
01:40 6 they did that. What I do know is I -- Eric, based
01:41 7 on the best of my knowledge, would have been copied
01:41 8 on -- on relevant E mails relating to product
01:41 9 decisions since he's -- since he's the -- he's the
01:41 10 core person behind that.

01:41 11 Q. Do you know how often Power purged
01:41 12 E mails or old E mails while you were -- it was
01:41 13 operating the --

01:41 14 A. We do not -- We do not purge
01:41 15 E mails.

01:41 16 Q. Do you know if Power backed up --

01:41 17 A. We backed it up on our servers but
01:41 18 the backups I have not looked at them personally,
01:41 19 so I cannot say what they -- what they looked like.

01:41 20 Q. But to the best of your knowledge,
01:41 21 they still exist?

01:41 22 A. I backed up everything that's on
01:41 23 the servers, so I -- but I don't know -- E mail
01:41 24 servers, you know, I don't know how they were done,
01:41 25 but I do know, as I mentioned, that Eric has access

01:41 1 to all his E mails and all E mails that, to the
01:42 2 best of my knowledge, you know, any relevant
01:42 3 E mails relating to Facebook which there were not
01:42 4 that many. As I said, this is a very minor part of
01:42 5 our business. I mean, in terms of technical
01:42 6 resources. Facebook was a very important site so
01:42 7 we obviously -- But since we had already built the
01:42 8 core structure and the core platform, adding
01:42 9 Facebook was not a major change in strategy. It
01:42 10 was just another site to add.

01:42 11 Q. Are there any documentations that
01:42 12 reflect -- First of all, what precise search terms
01:42 13 did you use in searching your Yahoo e-mail account?

01:42 14 A. I think I've answered that to the
01:42 15 best of my knowledge already.

01:42 16 Q. The one I heard was Facebook.

01:42 17 A. No. I think I said I searched
01:42 18 every E mail that had any discussion of the word
01:42 19 Facebook in it between our employees, and then I
01:42 20 also searched PowerScript, and then PowerScript
01:42 21 with Facebook, and then related terms I can't
01:43 22 remember every -- every micro-term. But as I
01:43 23 mentioned, I also went through that period of time
01:43 24 and looked at E mails.

01:43 25 Q. When you say "looked at E mails"

01:43 1 how long did you take looking at E mails?

01:43 2 A. I -- I probably spent several

01:43 3 hours. I don't know exactly how much time.

01:43 4 Several hours to go through all the E mails that

01:43 5 were relevant to the combination of the initial

01:43 6 searches and then going through that date period.

01:43 7 I would estimate like a few hours, but I cannot

01:43 8 remember -- I wasn't counting my time.

01:43 9 Q. When did this happen?

01:43 10 A. When it was requested. In the

01:43 11 declarations. Around that time period.

01:43 12 Q. Do you know if you searched, for

01:43 13 instance, the letters F-B?

01:43 14 A. Did I search the letters F-B?

01:44 15 Q. Yes.

01:44 16 A. I believe I did.

01:44 17 Q. Do you know?

01:44 18 A. I -- I searched Facebook and I

01:44 19 probably -- I would be happy to do another search

01:44 20 again, but I believe I searched for F-B because

01:44 21 that's a terminology that -- Although, we don't use

01:44 22 that terminology internally, I've searched -- I

01:44 23 searched quite a lot of terms that day. As I

01:44 24 mentioned, I went through every individual --

01:44 25 Irrelevant of the searching, I went through every

01:44 1 E mail in that period, so if I had missed something
01:44 2 in a search term, I would have found it in my
01:44 3 manual search by date. I mean, again, it's
01:44 4 possible. Anything's possible, but I did -- I made
01:44 5 my best effort to -- to search and provide
01:44 6 everything.

01:44 7 Q. In 2008 did -- did Power have
01:44 8 identified officers of the company?

01:45 9 A. Yes.

01:45 10 Q. Who were they?

01:45 11 A. They were Eric Santos, myself, and
01:45 12 Filipe Herrera.

13 Q. How do you spell that last name?

01:45 14 A. H-E-R-R-E-R-A. That's the most
01:45 15 significant individuals.

01:45 16 Q. What was Mr. Herrera's role?

01:45 17 A. Corporate development and --
01:45 18 Corporate development.

01:45 19 Q. Did anybody else have a corporate
01:45 20 title?

01:45 21 A. There were -- I don't know what --
01:45 22 officer as, you know, there's different levels.
01:45 23 There were people that participate at the board
01:45 24 level and those were -- those are the individuals
01:45 25 that were most involved, but there were many

01:50 1 with the E mail. I don't -- I will double-check on
01:50 2 that, but I don't believe we did, although we -- we
01:50 3 -- would like to have because our users desperately
01:50 4 requested this. I mean, one of the main values of
01:50 5 our services was I want to be able to abrogate all
01:50 6 my contacts in one place, and so it would have been
01:50 7 something we would have willingly and -- done and
01:51 8 had we continued to grow, I think it would be
01:51 9 something we would welcome doing.

01:51 10 Q. All right. Do you know, again, if
01:51 11 any attempt what -- even if it was unsuccessful,
01:51 12 was ever made to capture that information to users.

01:51 13 A. I don't know offhand, but I would
01:51 14 be happy to check on that.

01:51 15 Q. Do you know what documentation
01:51 16 would -- What type of documentation was typically
01:51 17 created by Power that would reflect one way or the
01:51 18 other --

01:51 19 A. It would have been -- It would
01:51 20 have been in the E mails that we sent because
01:51 21 everything relating to the conversation -- that
01:51 22 would have -- That wasn't even a feature, so it
01:51 23 wouldn't have got beyond a conversation in E mail.

01:51 24 Q. You say that users wanted that
01:51 25 feature. How do you know users wanted that

01:53 1 They did access. They did have full access to
01:53 2 their contacts in Facebook. If you go here, they
01:53 3 had full access. They could -- They could click on
01:53 4 that and write messages so we gave our users
01:53 5 incredible functionality with their Facebook users
01:53 6 with -- with -- to communicate with -- you know, to
01:53 7 see their contacts on Facebook.

01:53 8 Q. But my question was: Do you
01:53 9 recall one way or the other if there were
01:53 10 complaints --

01:53 11 A. There were no complaints that I
01:53 12 know of -- that we know of.

01:53 13 Q. Well, I'm just wondering you said
01:53 14 users desperately wanted that E mail --

01:53 15 A. I didn't.

01:53 16 MR. BURSOR: Let him finish.

01:53 17 Q. What I understood, you used the
01:53 18 words "desperately wanted" the function to use --
01:53 19 to show their e-mail addresses, and I just wanted
01:53 20 to know if that -- if you were referring to
01:53 21 complaints that power.com --

01:53 22 A. Let me -- Let me rephrase that.
01:53 23 The desperately was -- was an opinion based on a
01:53 24 subjective opinion based on the value of our
01:53 25 service. Knowing that our users, you know, one of

01:53 1 our main value propositions was, you know, our core
01:53 2 message was all your -- all your -- everything in
01:54 3 one place that we -- I made that assumption, so I'm
01:54 4 not at liberty to know the opinions of every single
01:54 5 one of our users.

01:54 6 Q. Did Power have any function that
01:54 7 permitted users to make specific requests for
01:54 8 additional features?

01:54 9 A. Yeah. We had message boards. We
01:54 10 had chat rooms. We had, you know, basically places
01:54 11 where people could interact in forums and obviously
01:54 12 tell us -- give us comments on feedback.

01:54 13 MR. BURSOR: Take a break.

01:54 14 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: 1:53, off the
01:54 15 record.

01:54 16 (Whereupon, a recess is taken.)

02:00 17 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: 1:59, going on
02:00 18 the record.

02:01 19 Q. Mr. Vachani, returning to Exhibit
02:01 20 103 -- 102 your declaration --

02:01 21 A. Sure.

02:01 22 Q. You referred to the specific
02:01 23 example of the fact that e-mail addresses on
02:01 24 Facebook are imaged as one of the difficulties for
02:01 25 -- one of the ways that Facebook, quote, makes it

02:24 1 -- are very small over all since we had so -- so
02:25 2 few users. I think less than one percent of our
02:25 3 entire user base were Facebook users, so Facebook
02:25 4 was a -- from our perspective, a relatively small
02:25 5 -- It was growing obviously, but at the time we
02:25 6 were on there, it was not a -- it was a small -- a
02:25 7 very small amount, but if you want to get into the
02:25 8 micro-number, I mean, we could make best guess
02:25 9 estimates and try to give you our estimates on
02:25 10 those; and if it was really relevant, I don't know
02:25 11 what else we could do.

02:25 12 Q. Did you ever log the amount of
02:25 13 Facebook users that were accessing your site?

02:25 14 A. I believe we provided --

02:25 15 THE WITNESS: Have we provided --

02:25 16 A. What have we provided? We
02:25 17 provided the information that we were able to
02:25 18 access if I'm not mistaken, but I haven't -- been
02:25 19 awhile since we provided this information, so I
02:25 20 don't know.

02:25 21 Q. Do you know just offhand if, as a
02:25 22 general practice, Power logged the number of users
02:25 23 of any given Web site at any given time on Power?

02:26 24 A. Yes.

02:26 25 Q. So for instance, you knew how many

02:26 1 Orkut registered users were using Power?

02:26 2 A. Yes.

02:26 3 Q. So you -- And that was because
02:26 4 that type of information can be logged into your
02:26 5 database.

02:26 6 A. Yeah. We know all the sites that
02:26 7 our users have registered in the system. That is
02:26 8 correct.

02:26 9 Q. And you also know what -- the time
02:26 10 they are registered with Power. Correct?

02:26 11 A. We know when the user registered
02:26 12 with Power and, I guess, we know their -- their --
02:26 13 their log-ins. That's correct.

02:26 14 Q. And that -- that information
02:26 15 remains or does it? Does that information remain
02:26 16 on the documentation on the servers --

02:26 17 A. I don't know if it's still
02:26 18 available because those were -- but we have
02:26 19 provided those macro-level numbers on those when
02:26 20 they were requested previously. Obviously, they're
02:26 21 extremely small since Facebook was only up on our
02:26 22 site for a few weeks and before we voluntarily took
02:26 23 it down.

02:26 24 Q. Do you know how the PowerScript
02:26 25 that was used to access the Facebook site for data

02:37 1 you would be invited to ask your friends to join
02:37 2 power.com?

02:37 3 A. No. You would have the option to
02:37 4 invite your friends to join just like you have the
02:37 5 option on Facebook to invite your friends to join
02:37 6 Facebook and every other site on the Internet, and
02:37 7 if they did, if they reach a hundred friends that
02:37 8 joined, they would earn \$100.

02:37 9 Q. And if you accepted the feature
02:37 10 that came up saying would you -- it said something
02:37 11 like, "Would you like to invite your friends to
02:37 12 Power"?

02:37 13 A. Yes.

02:37 14 Q. If you hit "yes" or "I agree" --

02:37 15 A. Yes.

02:37 16 Q. -- how -- what -- what
02:37 17 automation would occur at that point?

02:37 18 A. So first of all, you have to
02:38 19 remember that 99 percent of our users were not --
02:38 20 were not using -- were not using Facebook. They
02:38 21 were users on other sites, so we actually -- I
02:38 22 guess you could say we were actually a big source
02:38 23 of providing users to Facebook in Brazil. In fact,
02:38 24 as -- I guess you could say it was a gift, but we
02:38 25 -- we brought a large amount of Orkut users to

02:44 1 looked in the database. Correct?

02:44 2 A. We looked in our database,
02:44 3 correct. And we provided the numbers, I believe,
02:44 4 on that promotion to you guys.

02:45 5 Q. When somebody clicked on the
02:45 6 launch promotion and they were given, like you to
02:45 7 invite your friend" --

02:45 8 A. That's correct.

02:45 9 Q. -- and they hit yes, at that
02:45 10 point the importer, as we've been calling it, would
02:45 11 automatically contact all friends on Facebook to
02:45 12 invite them to --

02:45 13 A. Let's be clear. We don't have
02:45 14 access to any friends' e-mail addresses, so there
02:45 15 was not a single E mail sent by Face -- by Power
02:45 16 for -- We have e-mail addresses for friends on
02:45 17 other sites, but on -- so we -- If they wanted to
02:45 18 invite, as I said 99 -- well over 90 percent of our
02:45 19 users were Orkut users and Orkut friends and had
02:45 20 friends from other sites where they -- on sites
02:45 21 that allowed their E mails, but Facebook didn't --
02:45 22 didn't allow E mails, otherwise, we would have been
02:45 23 happy to send an invitation to those friends to
02:45 24 invite them; so that was not available for us for
02:46 25 Facebook.

02:53 1 that you could determine how many Facebook
02:53 2 registered users were contacted as part of this
02:53 3 promotion?

02:53 4 A. Facebook registered users?
02:53 5 Meaning if they were contacted -- In what manner?
02:53 6 If they happened -- If they were contacted at Orkut
02:53 7 and they happened to have an account on Facebook
02:53 8 but were not contacted through -- through the help
02:53 9 of Facebook?

02:53 10 Q. No. I'm talking about were there
02:53 11 individuals at Facebook contacted on the Facebook
02:53 12 -- through the Facebook system --

02:53 13 A. Yes.

02:53 14 Q. -- as a result of this promotion?

02:53 15 A. Yes. Of course.

02:53 16 Q. Is there a way to determine how
02:53 17 many were contacted?

02:54 18 A. Well, we could do -- If you take a
02:54 19 few minutes, we can probably figure out -- It's
02:54 20 obviously very small, but -- Because the Facebook
02:54 21 users were so small, but let's think about -- So
02:54 22 people created events on Facebook, so promoting it,
02:54 23 because our users were -- You know, some of them
02:54 24 created events saying, "Come on Facebook," about
02:54 25 come and joining, they created messages. They

02:54 1 sent -- I really don't know, but I mean, what I can
02:54 2 tell you is how many total, you know, users --
02:54 3 Well, if we had -- I'm trying to think about this.
02:54 4 We could probably go through an exercise to try to
02:54 5 figure out the amount. It was not a large amount,
02:54 6 but I'm guessing in the ten -- the amount of people
02:54 7 that might have -- that might have had some
02:54 8 interaction might have been in the tens -- in the
02:54 9 tens -- in the tens of thousands maybe. When I say
02:54 10 "interaction" meaning they might have seen an
02:54 11 event. They might have been contacted over the
02:54 12 phone. They might have been, you know, told them
02:54 13 in person -- I'm just -- I'm extrapolating. I have
02:55 14 no idea. I know that the total amount of users
02:55 15 that signed up for Facebook, so this is the maximum
02:55 16 it could be, I think, were -- I don't remember
02:55 17 this. I think it might have been 30 something. I
02:55 18 don't know the number of how many users actually
02:55 19 were registered who integrated their Facebook
02:55 20 account, so it couldn't be any more than that. I
02:55 21 think it represented less than one percent of our
02:55 22 overall users. I don't know. I don't know that
02:55 23 number.

02:55 24 Q. What documentation at Power exists
02:55 25 that would tell me that number?

03:34 1 I mean by "click-through"?

03:34 2 A. We were not actively selling ads
03:34 3 at that time. We were -- You know, we were focused
03:34 4 more on building our platform, so there was very
03:34 5 nominal revenues in the company at that time. In
03:34 6 fact, we probably earned less than 10 -- It would
03:34 7 be very, very, small amounts.

03:34 8 Q. How were you able to pay your
03:34 9 employees?

03:34 10 A. We were a venture capital funded
03:34 11 company. Essentially, capital funded.

03:34 12 Q. In 2009, how much revenue, if you
03:34 13 can estimate, did the company still have?

03:34 14 A. Probably less than -- 2009
03:34 15 probably -- one to \$300,000 of revenue for the
03:34 16 whole year.

03:34 17 Q. How about 2008?

03:34 18 A. Probably similar. I don't know
03:34 19 the exact number. It's under -- It would be a few
03:34 20 hundred thousand dollars at the most for the entire
03:34 21 company for the whole year, and probably 99 percent
03:34 22 of that was generated from Orkut, so maybe less
03:35 23 than one percent of that -- I don't know the exact
03:35 24 number, but I would say that if you -- A simple way
03:35 25 we could get this exact number, but if we took,

03:35 1 like, 300,000 so maybe 1 to \$3,000 of revenue were
03:35 2 generated. Maybe even less than that, related to
03:35 3 Facebook, \$1,000, \$2,000, and that's probably
03:35 4 overestimating of total revenues that might have
03:35 5 been generated from Facebook because it was such a
03:35 6 small amount. And then the amount earned -- We had
03:35 7 millions of users on the other sites so it would be
03:35 8 -- it would be so minuscule. Probably, I'm
03:35 9 guessing, 1 to \$3,000, but I would be happy to run
03:35 10 a calculation and exercise.

03:35 11 MR. BURSOR: Just answer the
03:35 12 questions. Don't volunteer to do work. Okay?
03:35 13 You've done that several times. Just yes,
03:35 14 question, you give an answer. Not I'm happy to do
03:35 15 this or I'm happy to do that. We'll discuss that
03:35 16 separately.

03:35 17 Q. The Facebook -- In 2008 did you
03:36 18 have demographics as to how many users were
03:36 19 accessing the site from particular countries?

03:36 20 A. Yes.

03:36 21 Q. Did you have demographics how many
03:36 22 users were accessing the site through particular
03:36 23 social networks?

03:36 24 A. Yes.

03:36 25 Q. Earlier -- And correct me if I'm

03:36 1 wrong. -- I understood from one of your answers
03:36 2 you said Brazil and India were your two largest
03:36 3 countries.

03:36 4 A. That's correct.

03:36 5 Q. What percentage, if you're able to
03:36 6 estimate, of your users were made up of users in
03:36 7 the United States of one or the other social
03:36 8 networks?

03:36 9 A. I think it was like around under
03:36 10 five -- under five percent of our total users. I
03:36 11 don't -- We could find that information.

03:36 12 Q. Where would the information about
03:36 13 the number -- or the demographics of the users
03:36 14 exist still?

03:36 15 A. Well, we could query our database
03:37 16 and find out -- because we have -- We know our
03:37 17 demographics of our users.

03:37 18 Q. In 2008, were you making such
03:37 19 queries?

03:37 20 A. We -- We used Alexa, also. Alexa
03:37 21 was our primary. It was just easier. It was not
03:37 22 such a relevant fact to us because we knew that
03:37 23 over 90 percent or more were coming from India and
03:37 24 Brazil, so I believe Alexa showed under five
03:37 25 percent. That's where I referred to that number

03:38 1 Q. Let me narrow that instead of
03:38 2 using a full year. If you know, do you know
03:38 3 approximately how many users you had -- Power had
03:39 4 in December of 2008?

03:39 5 A. Talking about registered users or
03:39 6 monthly?

03:39 7 Q. Registered --

03:39 8 A. Because --

03:39 9 Q. Let me restate it. That's a valid
03:39 10 question. In December of 2008 do you have -- as
03:39 11 you sit here today, do you have an approximate
03:39 12 number of registered users of Power that existed
03:39 13 worldwide?

03:39 14 A. I believe we made a public
03:39 15 announcement. It was around 5 to 6 million that
03:39 16 existed in that time, and I -- Yeah. Yes.

03:39 17 Q. In December 2008, do you have an
03:39 18 understanding how many of that population were
03:39 19 registered Facebook users?

03:39 20 A. I believe it was under \$30,000 --
03:39 21 30,000 users, but I don't -- would have -- I would
03:39 22 have to double confirm that.

03:39 23 Q. Does that information exist, as I
03:39 24 understand it, in the data -- The database can be
03:39 25 queried for that information?

03:39 1 A. I believe that information was
03:39 2 provided in previous requests. Something of that
03:40 3 nature. I'm not a hundred-percent sure, but I
03:40 4 guess we could try to run it, a database query.

03:40 5 Q. Regardless of whether it was
03:40 6 provided previously, a database query would obtain
03:40 7 that information. Correct?

03:40 8 A. Yes.

03:40 9 Q. Would a same sort of query
03:40 10 identify the total number of Facebook users that
03:40 11 ever used -- registered --

03:40 12 MR. COOPER: Strike that.

03:40 13 Q. Would the same sort of database
03:40 14 query identify the number of registered Power users
03:40 15 that were also Facebook members over time?

03:40 16 A. "Over time," what do you mean by
03:40 17 that.

03:40 18 Q. However long Facebook was made
03:40 19 accessible to Power users?

03:40 20 A. Facebook was made accessible for
03:40 21 approximately -- You know, the dates, but
03:40 22 approximately one month more or less -- a little
03:40 23 more than a month, like five weeks, and then,
03:40 24 again, we launched with Facebook Connect working
03:40 25 with -- we launched with Facebook Connect for a few

03:40 1 days, and then -- before Facebook took it down. So
03:41 2 I don't -- I don't know the -- the answer to that,
03:41 3 but I think it was -- I think it was around 30 --
03:41 4 the number that rings my -- that my memory, is
03:41 5 around 30,000 users that registered their Facebook
03:41 6 accounts.

03:41 7 Q. In December 2008, were you aware
03:41 8 of what was the largest social network in the
03:41 9 world?

03:41 10 A. I believe it was -- it was either
03:41 11 Myspace or Facebook or -- Yeah. Myspace or
03:41 12 Facebook.

03:41 13 Q. Was it important -- Did, at any
03:41 14 time, you recall discussions internal at Power that
03:41 15 Power's management deemed it important to be able
03:41 16 to aggregate Facebook on its site because it was
03:41 17 one of the two largest social networks in the
03:41 18 world?

03:41 19 A. It was extremely important for us
03:41 20 for our future.

03:41 21 Q. When did those discussions begin?

03:42 22 A. Those discussions began right
03:42 23 around the time -- In that six-month period,
03:42 24 basically we made the decision that adding Facebook
03:42 25 would be something that we wanted to do, and so it

03:42 1 was the same time period I've given you earlier.

03:42 2 Q. And this was six-month period
03:42 3 over -- before Facebook actually was launched on
03:42 4 power.com?

03:42 5 A. There may have been verbal -- As I
03:42 6 said, most of the activity took place in the -- in
03:42 7 the three months -- at the end of 2007. The last
03:42 8 three months of the year that's when all the
03:42 9 activity and conversations focused on Facebook. I
03:42 10 believe the launch date was -- was November 30th or
03:42 11 December 1st that we turned Facebook on, so it
03:42 12 would have been the two to three months before that
03:42 13 where the most conversations took place.

03:42 14 Q. In December 2007, do you know what
03:42 15 the largest social network in the world was?

03:43 16 A. That would be Facebook or Myspace.

03:43 17 Q. And was Power operating publicly
03:43 18 not as a public company but the Web site for Power
03:43 19 operating on the Web in December of 2007?

03:43 20 A. Yes.

03:43 21 Q. Were the -- Were there discussions
03:43 22 internal at Power as early as December of 2007 of
03:43 23 including Facebook on -- as a Web site that would
03:43 24 be included in your social aggregation?

03:43 25 A. What point?

04:01 1 answers that you had approximately 100 employees,
04:01 2 power.com did?

04:01 3 A. On December 1st, 2008?

04:01 4 Q. Yes.

04:01 5 A. Approximately, yes.

04:01 6 Q. Besides yourself, were any of
04:01 7 those employees involved in discussions with
04:01 8 Facebook about integration of Facebook Web site?

04:01 9 A. At what point?

04:01 10 Q. In December 2008?

04:01 11 A. Yes. There were -- I was leading
04:01 12 the discussions. I led all those discussions with
04:01 13 Facebook. There were quite extensive discussions
04:01 14 going -- going on about integration, creating the
04:02 15 schedule. We actually, as a courtesy, had -- had
04:02 16 offered to try -- to try working with Facebook
04:02 17 Connect as least as a first measure, although it
04:02 18 was not necessary, so there was a conversation
04:02 19 going on.

04:02 20 Q. Who were the other employees who
04:02 21 were involved in the discussions?

04:02 22 A. Eric Santos was the primary
04:02 23 person. I don't remember who else. There were
04:02 24 other people that were in the conversations.

04:02 25 Q. Were any of your investors

05:05 1 agenda for these weekly meetings?

05:05 2 A. There would typically be some kind
05:05 3 of agenda.

05:05 4 Q. Would that be a written document
05:05 5 circulated amongst management?

05:05 6 A. Yes. At that time, yeah.

05:06 7 Q. Do you know if those agendas still
05:06 8 exist?

05:06 9 A. I don't know if they still exist,
05:06 10 but I do -- I can tell you that Facebook Connect
05:06 11 was not -- it was definitely not at a high level --
05:06 12 It's something we reviewed. It definitely wouldn't
05:06 13 have been on an agenda item. It might have come up
05:06 14 in a discussion.

05:06 15 Q. Earlier you said you had discussed
05:06 16 Facebook Connect extensively --

05:06 17 A. We discussed it technically, like,
05:06 18 technical discussions about it. Not about -- It
05:06 19 wouldn't have been a major issue in these meetings.
05:06 20 I would have basically said to Eric, "I need you to
05:06 21 go play around," probably off line. "Eric, I need
05:06 22 you to go -- you and your team to evaluate Facebook
05:06 23 Connect, what it's capable and if it's possible to
05:06 24 -- what we can and can't do with it."

05:06 25 Q. Did you search for these agendas

05:06 1 when you produced documents in this case?

05:06 2 A. They're not electronic. This
05:06 3 would typically be somebody put it on a word thing
05:06 4 and then distributed an electronic copy and it was
05:06 5 a very informal agenda, if there was even a agenda.
05:07 6 It was no formal process for that.

05:07 7 Q. When you searched earlier, did you
05:07 8 search with key words for text documents that were
05:07 9 attached to any E mails?

05:07 10 A. Those are included in -- Those get
05:07 11 included. When you do a search on Yahoo, it
05:07 12 searches text documents.

05:07 13 Q. Did you search for on the -- the
05:07 14 -- whether or not there were simply store copies of
05:07 15 these agendas that were not attached to E mails?

05:07 16 A. They would have come up in the
05:07 17 message -- All agendas? Most agendas I would say
05:07 18 are typically -- If they were relevant, they would
05:07 19 be sent out in E mails, so when I searched the
05:07 20 E mails with anything related to Facebook they
05:07 21 could have come up -- they would have come up in
05:07 22 the E mail searches for the most part.

05:07 23 Q. How did you find the documents
05:07 24 related to PowerScript?

05:07 25 A. I searched for things that were

05:07 1 with PowerScript or I searched with the word
05:08 2 "Facebook." Specifically, relating to this issue
05:08 3 as I told you earlier, I went through -- Actually,
05:08 4 I went through all the E mail also of that time
05:08 5 period, and I also searched the word "Facebook." I
05:08 6 also searched the word "PowerScript" and I also
05:08 7 searched a range of other terms that I thought were
05:08 8 related to this issue.

05:08 9 Q. You indicated nobody -- that it
05:08 10 was not the policy to destroy documents at -- at
05:08 11 Power.

05:08 12 A. That's correct.

05:08 13 Q. Where were those documents stored?

05:08 14 A. Any document that was sent
05:08 15 electronically is still in my E mailbox.

05:08 16 Q. What if it wasn't sent
05:08 17 electronically?

05:08 18 A. If it wasn't sent electronically,
05:08 19 -- There's -- I don't know which -- They're -- For
05:08 20 the most part, I would say most of our
05:08 21 communications were sent electronically, but if
05:08 22 somebody prepared, for example, a -- a Word
05:08 23 document and never sent it out to anyone, which I
05:08 24 don't think happened very often, and then there
05:08 25 would be no way to locate that.

05:08 1 Q. Where were employee records stored
05:08 2 at Power when they were in their own personal
05:09 3 possession?

05:09 4 A. If they were in their own personal
05:09 5 possession, they would be on the laptop, but if
05:09 6 they were shared documents they would be on our --
05:09 7 on our servers.

05:09 8 Q. If -- Did you use word "system" at
05:09 9 Power?

05:09 10 A. We used a -- We had a -- We had a
05:09 11 -- We had a shared server for documents that were
05:09 12 appropriate that were in -- in intercompany
05:09 13 discussions.

05:09 14 Q. Did you search this -- Is this
05:09 15 word "system" still stored anywhere --

05:09 16 A. I personally, whenever somebody
05:09 17 wanted me to review something, I would get it in my
05:09 18 E mailbox because I just preferred that, so I would
05:09 19 always request that to be sent to my E mail. So
05:09 20 if, there was anything related to Facebook or these
05:09 21 other issues, it would have been in my E mailbox.
05:09 22 Also, because that was my personal practice and
05:09 23 preference if people if they had a document -- I
05:09 24 personally never -- never used that -- the shared
05:09 25 stuff -- shared -- put it on the servers very often

05:09 1 and many people -- E mail was the preferred form of
05:10 2 communication in the company.

05:10 3 Q. And did you -- Again, did you
05:10 4 search any of the word "system" documents to see if
05:10 5 there were any materials --

05:10 6 A. Every document that I've ever
05:10 7 reviewed that I can -- To the best of my knowledge,
05:10 8 was usually E mailed to me, you know. That was --
05:10 9 because I was not always -- I was moving -- I was
05:10 10 moving between traveling a lot, and in general, the
05:10 11 -- People would E mail it to me, so it would be my
05:10 12 E mailbox and I've searched that entire E mail box.

05:10 13 Q. How far back does your E mail box
05:10 14 go back?

05:10 15 A. It goes back to well before Power
05:10 16 was started.

05:10 17 Q. Was any type of request sent out
05:10 18 to all employees of Power that they maintain
05:10 19 records of everything related to the development of
05:10 20 the Facebook integration?

05:10 21 A. No.

05:10 22 Q. So none of the employees were any
05:10 23 inform instructed to maintain their records?

05:11 24 A. No. They were not.

05:11 25 Q. So -- what was -- For how much